29th January 1931]

(ii) the opinion of the Government Pleader, Mr. Shephard, dated 10th of May 1882 and of Messrs. McWatters and Logan, Collectors of Malabar and of the Revenue Board (please see G.O. No. 459, Revenue, dated 18th April 1883)?

A.—(*a*) & (*b*) The proposal of the Special Settlement Officer, No. IV party, for the re-settlement of the eight plains taluks of the Malabar district have been published in the *Malabar District Gazette* for January 1931. The Government observe that the matters referred to in clause (*b*) of the question have been alluded to by the Special Settlement Officer in paragraphs 39 and 57 of his report. Three months' time has been allowed for making representations in regard to the proposals—vide notification published in the *Malabar District Gazette* Extraordinary, dated 12th January 1931. The proposals of the Special Settlement Officer will be considered by this Government on the expiry of the period specified in the notification.

Resurvey of some taluks in South Kanara.

* 214 *Q.*—Mr. U. C. SUBRAHMANYA BHATT: Will the hon. the Member for Revenue be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether there is a proposal to re-survey the taluks of South Kanara which have not been re-surveyed;

(*b*) if so, for what reasons;

(*c*) whether the public are opposed to the re-survey; and

(*d*) what action the Government propose to take in the matter?

A.—(*a*) *to* (*d*) No such proposal is under the consideration of the Government.

Mr. U. C. SUBRAHMANYA BHATT:—"May I know whether the Survey Department has made any communication in the matter of re-survey of the taluks of South Kanara?"

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL:—"We have received no recommendation for the re-survey of the taluks of South Kanara."

Mr. U. C. SUBRAHMANYA BHATT:—"May I know what is the interest that the Survey Department has in re-surveying the district of South Kanara when the people of that district object to it?"

The hon. Mr. A. Y. G. CAMPBELL:—"I said we have not received any communication from the Survey Department."

Local Boards and Municipal Councils

Leasing of trees for tapping by local bodies.

* 215 *Q.*—Mr. A. B. SHETTY: Will the hon. the Minister for Local Self-Government be pleased to state whether the Government have taken any objection to the stoppage of the practice of leasing out trees for tapping by certain district boards and municipalities?

A.—No.